UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

46560   e   12/17/2009

THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY
C/O GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
The Tabor Center, 1200 17th Street
Suite 2400
Denver, CO 80202

Paper No.

Application No.:	10/692,513	Date Mailed:	12/17/2009
First Named Inventor:	Hale, Greg, B.	Examiner:	NEWLIN, TIMOTHY R
Attorney Docket No.:	54317-022501/US	Art Unit:	2424
Confirmation No.:	9301	Filing Date:	10/24/2003

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief *(37 CFR 41.37)*	**Application No.**	**Applicant(s)**
	10/692,513	HALE ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**
	Timothy Newlin	2424

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>03 December 2009</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MEEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.

2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).

3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).

4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).

5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))

6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).

7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).

8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).

9. ☒ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).

10. ☐ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

    <u>1.) The argument section must match the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal, insomuch each heading must correspond with the heading in section VI of the brief. Any claims argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number.</u>
    <u>2.) The related proceedings appendix heading is missing .</u>

    /Timothy Cole/
    T.Cole
    Patent Appeal Specialist
    571 272-0999